

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/539,458
 03/30/00
 CHANG
 M
 1346P/DA0102

 MM92/0605
 EXAMINER

JOSEPH A SAWYER JR SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP P O BOX 51418 PALO ALTO CA 94303 EXAMINER

PHAM. H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2814

DATE MAILED:

06/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

				,	
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/539,458		CHANG ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Hoai V Pham		2814	
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail: - If the period for reply specified above of the No period for reply is specified about Failure to reply within the set or extered the Normal Process of the Normal Proc	IIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ng date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ve, the maximum statutory period w ided period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	36 (a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini vill apply and will expire S cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tin mum of thirty (30) days GIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on 3/30	<u>V00</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL	2b)⊡ Thi	is action is non-fir	nai.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are p	ending in the application	•			
4a) Of the above claim	(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	ition.		
5) Claim(s) is/are	allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are	objected to.				
8)⊠ Claims <u>1-16</u> are subj	ect to restriction and/or e	lection requireme	ent.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is ob	jected to by the Examine	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed or	is/are objected to	o by the Examine	r.		
11) The proposed drawing	correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approv	ed b)∐ disapp	roved.	
12) The oath or declaratio	n is objected to by the Ex	caminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgment is m	ade of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c)	☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies	of the priority documents	have been recei	ved.		
_	of the priority documents			on No	•
_	rtified copies of the prior		• •		l Stage
application f * See the attached detaile	rom the International Bur ed Office action for a list of			1	
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is n		•			
			v	. ,	
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTC	-892)	18) 🗌	Interview Summan	/ (PTO-413) Paper N	lo(s)
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent [17) Information Disclosure Statemen	Prawing Review (PTO-948)	19) 🗍 20) 🗍		Patent Application (P	

Application/Control Number: 09/539,458

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 314.
- II. Claims 8-16, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 201.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as, forming an insulating layer covering the plurality of gate stacks, the at least one component and the silicide using a mask in order to alleviate the need for subsequent etching the insulating layer for forming a contact hole to the silicide.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In addition, if the method is elected, Applicant is further required to elect a species in claims 1-16,

Application/Control Number: 09/539,458

Art Unit: 2814

Figure 3A-3B described in pages 7-9.

Figure 4 described in pages 9-11.

Figure 5A-5B described in pages 11-13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no claims generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2814

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoai V Pham whose telephone number is 703-308-6173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30A.M. - 6:00P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 703-306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Olik Chaudhuri Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

HP Hoai Pham May 29, 2001